UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/533,137	04/29/2005	Hidesato Mano	KES-US040474	2300
22919 7590 11/24/2009 GLOBAL IP COUNSELORS, LLP		9	EXAMINER	
1233 20TH STE	REET, NW, SUITE 70		HAUTH, GALEN H	
WASHINGTON, DC 20036-2680			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1791	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/24/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/533,137	MANO, HIDESATO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	GALEN HAUTH	1791				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  (136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting  will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 J</u>	ulv 2009					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s action is non-final.					
<del></del>	<del>-</del>					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-9</u> is/are pending in the applic	· _					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-9</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
•	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	Adminor. Note the attached office	7761011 01 1011111 1 10 102.				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
·— ·— ·—						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(c)						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) L_ Other:						

Art Unit: 1791

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

1. Acknowledgment is made to applicant's amendment of claim 1. No new matter has been added.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. Claims 1 and 3-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakamura (PN 6245182) in view of Sato et al. (Pub No 2002/0098326).
  - a. With regards to claim 1, Nakamura teaches an active energy ray curable resin composition which comprises a polymer having a methacryl equivalent weight of from 100 to 300 g/eq, a hydroxyl value of from 20 to 500, and a weight average molecular weight of 5,000 to 50,000. Nakamura more specifically teaches that the methacryl polymer is glycidyl methacrylate which is known by

Art Unit: 1791

one of the ordinary skill in the art to comprise epoxy groups (col 3 ln 34-52). Nakamura teaches that the reaction product obtained by poly-addition of glycidyl methacrylate based polymer and alpha, beta unsaturated monocarboxylic acid (the polymer is the reaction product of the addition of a monocarboxylic acid having an unsaturated double bond to a polymer having an epoxy group) (col 3 ln 49-52). Nakamura teaches the inclusion of a polyfunctional isocyanate (heat curing agent) for the purposes of partially crosslinking the material due to the reaction of the hydroxyl compounds with the crosslinking agent (col 8 ln 1-11).

- b. Sato teaches an image transfer medium in which a thermoplastic resin and crosslinking agent are used (abstract). Sato teaches that known crosslinking agents activated by heat include isocyanate compounds as well as phenol resins, epoxy compounds, metal alkoxides, silane compounds, and various other equivalents (¶ 0038). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a crosslinking agent other than isocyanate in the compound of Nakamura as phenol, epoxy, metal alkoxides, and silane agents are art recognized equivalents as taught by Sato. The equivalence of the compounds presents a reasonable expectation of success and would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art through routine experimentation.
- c. With regards to claim 3, Nakamura teaches that the glycidyl methacrylate based polymer may be a homopolymer of glycidyl methacrylate or a copolymer of glycidyl methacrylate (col 3 ln 53-56).

Art Unit: 1791

d. With regards to claim 4, Sato teaches using a silane coupling agent as an equivalent to polyisocyanate as described in the rejection of claim 1 above (col 10 ln 28-33).

- e. With regards to claim 5, Nakamura teaches using a photopolymerization initiator (col 8 In 60-62).
- f. With regards to claims 6 and 7, Nakamura teaches of a transfer material comprising a protective layer on a releasable sheet (col 3 ln 30-46, col 4 ln 14-27).
- g. With regards to claim 8, Nakamura teaches a method for producing a molded article comprising the steps of (col 3 ln 62-64, col 4 ln 14-23).
  - i. Adhering transfer material onto a substrate of a molded article (col 3 ln 64-67);
  - ii. Releasing the substrate sheet (removing the releasable base sheet) (col 4 ln 1)
  - iii. Irradiating with an active energy ray (irradiating the surface of the molded article with an active energy ray) (col 4 ln 2).
- h. With regards to claim 9, Nakamura et al. teaches a method of producing a molded article comprising the steps of (col 4 ln 3-6)
  - iv. Placing a transfer material in a mold (applying a transfer material to the inside of a mold) (col 4 ln 7-8).
  - v. Injecting a resin into a cavity for filling, molding, and simultaneously adhering the transfer material to the surface of the molded resin (filling a

Art Unit: 1791

cavity of the mold with a resin by injection to thereby form a molded article and adhering the transfer material to a surface of the molded article) (col 4 ln 8-11);

- vi. Releasing the substrate sheet (removing the releasable base sheet) (col 4 ln 12)
- vii. Irradiating with an active energy ray (irradiating the surface of the molded article with an active energy ray) (col 4 ln 13).

### Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments, see response, filed 07/09/2009, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1 and 3-9 under Nakamura in view of Kawakubo with regards to the teachings of Kawakubo have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Nakamura in view of Sato as described above.
- 6. Applicant's arguments filed 07/09/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive with regards to the Nakamura patent teaching away from a non-isocyanate compound being used.

This argument is not persuasive as Nakamura teaches that the purpose of the isocyanate composition is to form a crosslinked composition with the hydroxyl groups present in the polymer compound (col 8 ln 8-11). Nakamura does not explicitly state that other crosslinking agents could not be used in the place of an isocyanate composition. Thus, given a teaching of the equivalence of isocyanate with other crosslinking compositions, as shown in the rejection of claim 1 above,

Art Unit: 1791

one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to experiment with various equivalents for crosslinking in the composition of Nakamura.

### Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to GALEN HAUTH whose telephone number is (571)270-5516. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday 8:30am-5:00pm ET.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Johnson can be reached on (571)272-1176. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 1791

/GHH/

/Christina Johnson/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1791